



Medical Facilities Planning

## Long-Term and Behavioral Health Committee Minutes

May 15, 2009

The Jane S. McKimmon Center

10:00 am

MEMBERS PRESENT: Dr. T. J. Pulliam, Chair, Don Beaver, Ted Griffin, Ken Hodges (via phone), Jerry Parks, Tim Rogers
MEMBERS ABSENT: Sen. Anthony Foriest, Dr. John Holt, Jr., Frances Mauney
STAFF PRESENT: Floyd Cogley, Victoria McClanahan, Dr. Carol Potter, Kelli Fisk
DHSR STAFF PRESENT: Craig Smith

Standing Agenda	Discussion	Motion/ Seconded	Recommendations/ Actions
Welcome & Announcements	Dr. Pulliam welcomed members and guests.		
Review of Executive Order No. 10: Ethical Standards for the State Health Coordinating Council	Dr. Pulliam introduced Mr. Marc Lodge, an attorney with the North Carolina Department of Justice. Mr. Lodge gave an overview of Executive Order No. 10. Dr. Pulliam reviewed the procedures to observe before taking action at the meeting. Beginning with Dr. Pulliam, members commented on their professional and institutional interest. None of the members indicated having a financial benefit that would be derived from any matter coming before the Committee for action. Therefore, no member recused themselves from voting on any matter coming before the Committee at the meeting.		
Introductions	At Dr. Pulliam's request, Medical Facility Planning Section staff introduced themselves.		
Approval of minutes from the September 26, 2008 meeting	Dr. Pulliam stated that the minutes would be tabled until the next Committee meeting.		
Hospice Services	Dr. Pulliam, who served as Chairman of the Hospice Methodologies Task Force, recognized members of the Task Force as well as resource people. In addition, he recognized the contribution of Emily Cromer and Nathan Marvelle of Health Planning Source.		
Presentation of Hospice Methodologies Task Force	At Dr. Pulliam's request, Tim Rogers presented the Hospice Methodologies Task Force recommendations. The recommendations were outlined in materials that had been		

Standing Agenda	Discussion	Motion/ Seconded	Recommendations/ Actions
<p>Recommendations Discussion/Recommendations for the Proposed 2010 Plan</p> <p>Projected Hospice Services Need Determinations</p> <p>Discussion/Recommendations for the Proposed 2010 Plan</p>	<p>distributed to the Committee. A motion was made to accept the recommendations of the Hospice Methodologies Task Force.</p> <p>A motion was made to authorize staff to work with Dr. Pulliam and other resource people to refine items as we move forward.</p> <p>Mr. Cogley indicated that based on current data there would not be a need determination for new hospice home care offices and there would be need determinations for six hospice inpatient beds in Randolph and Sampson counties. He noted that utilization data was subject to change prior to publication of the Proposed Plan. Further, need determinations may be made based on whether or not certificate of need applications are submitted for need determinations identified in the 2009 Plan.</p> <p>A motion was made to recommend acceptance of the hospice services assumptions and methodologies as outlined in the Hospice Methodologies Task Force recommendations for inclusion in the Proposed 2010 Plan with references to years being advanced as appropriate.</p>	<p>Mr. Beaver Mr. Griffin</p> <p>Mr. Rogers Mr. Parks</p> <p>Mr. Rogers Mr. Parks</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p> <p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p> <p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p>
<p>Review of Nursing Facility Policies Assumptions and Methodology. Projected Nursing Care Bed Need Determinations.</p> <p>Discussion/Recommendations for the Proposed 2010 Plan</p>	<p>Mr. Cogley reviewed the nursing facility policies, assumptions and methodology. He noted the work sheet for development of the trend line. He indicated that based on current information, there would be need determinations for 10 beds in Camden County and 70 beds in Johnston County. He indicated that data and inventory is subject to change. Notation was made of the large deficit in Wake County.</p> <p>A motion was made to recommend acceptance of the nursing care policies, assumptions and methodology.</p>	<p>Mr. Beaver Mr. Parks</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p>
<p>Review of Adult Care Home Policies, Assumptions and Methodology. Projected Adult Care Home Bed Need Determinations</p> <p>Discussion/Recommendations for the Proposed 2010 Plan</p>	<p>Mr. Cogley reviewed the adult care home policies, assumptions and methodology. He noted the work sheet for development of the five year average use rate. He indicated that based on current information, there would be need determinations for 10 beds in Camden County and 40 beds in Gates County. He indicated that further analysis was needed for other counties regarding utilization. He indicated that data and inventory information is subject to change. In response to a question, it was noted that counties could have a projected surplus and still have beds that have yet to be developed. Beds that are yet to be developed may be identified in the Plan as “exempt” or “pipeline” beds. Also noted was that the exempt and pipeline beds do not have certificates of need. The Adult Care Homes chapter addresses these type of beds in the Summary of Bed Supply and Utilization.</p> <p>A motion was made to recommend acceptance of the adult care home policies, assumptions and methodology and advancing years by one.</p>	<p>Mr. Griffin Mr. Parks</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p>

Standing Agenda	Discussion	Motion/ Seconded	Recommendations/ Actions
<p>Review of Home Health Services Policy, Assumptions and Methodology. Projected Home Health Need Determinations</p> <p>Discussion/Recommendations for the Proposed 2010 Plan</p>	<p>Mr. Cogley reviewed the home health policy, assumptions and methodology. He indicated that Graham, Granville, Pamlico and Swain counties do not have a Medicare Certified Home Health Agency located in the County and that Graham, Pamlico and Swain counties would not generate a need determination under Policy HH-3 due to their having populations of less than 20,000 people and the proximity of agencies in other counties. There would be a need determination in the Proposed 2010 Plan for Granville county based on the policy. It was noted that there was an adjusted determination of no new need for Granville County in the 2009 Plan.</p> <p>A motion was made to recommend that there be an adjusted determination of no new need for a Medicare-Certified Home Health Agency or Office for Granville County for the Proposed 2010 Plan. Mr. Rogers indicated that there was not an access issue and the County is adequately served by agencies in other counties.</p> <p>Mr. Cogley reviewed material related to home health need determinations. He indicated that based on application of the standard methodology there would be a need determination for Wake County.</p> <p>A motion was made to recommend acceptance of the home health policy, assumptions and methodology and advancing years by one.</p>	<p>Mr. Rogers Mr. Griffin</p> <p>Mr. Hodges Mr. Parks</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p> <p>The motion was approved.</p>
<p>End-Stage Renal Disease Dialysis Facilities Overview of up-dated Narrative and ESRD Policy</p> <p>Discussion/Recommendations for Proposed 2010 SMFP</p>	<p>Ms. McClanahan reviewed the End-Stage Renal Disease Dialysis Facilities narrative and policy.</p> <p>A motion was made to accept the materials provided by staff regarding dialysis services for the Proposed 2010 Plan.</p>	<p>Mr. Griffin Mr. Beaver</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p>
<p>Review of Psychiatric Inpatient Services Policy, Assumptions and Methodology. Projected Psychiatric Bed Need Determinations</p> <p>Discussion/Recommendations for the Proposed 2010 Plan</p>	<p>Dr. Potter provided a summary of the Psychiatric Inpatient Services policies and need determination methodologies, then discussed Agency recommendations for clarifying language in the Chapter 15 narrative regarding service areas. Next, Committee members reviewed draft tables 15A, 15B and 15C. Dr. Potter reported that the standard methodology, data and information currently available resulted in need determinations for child/adolescent psychiatric inpatient services beds in the following local management entities (LMEs): Smoky Mountain, Piedmont, Durham, Johnston, Onslow-Carteret, Beacon Center, East Carolina Behavioral Health, and Eastpointe. The standard methodology, data and information currently available resulted in need determinations for adult psychiatric inpatient services beds in the following LMEs: Smoky Mountain, Pathways, Mecklenburg, Crossroads, and Johnston.</p> <p>A motion was made to recommend acceptance of the psychiatric inpatient services policy, assumptions and methodology, advancing years by one, and the additional language for the Chapter 15 narrative.</p>	<p>Mr. Parks Mr. Hodges</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p>

Standing Agenda	Discussion	Motion/ Seconded	Recommendations/ Actions
<p>Review of Substance Abuse Inpatient and Residential Services Assumptions and Methodology. Projected Substance Abuse Treatment Bed Need Determinations</p> <p>Discussion/Recommendations for the Proposed 2010 Plan</p>	<p>Dr. Potter provided a summary of the Substance Abuse Inpatient and Residential Services policies and need determination methodologies, then discussed Agency recommendations for clarifying language in the Chapter 16 narrative regarding service areas. She also explained the recommended change in Chapter 16's title to include "(Chemical Dependency Treatment Beds)". Next, Committee members reviewed draft tables 16A, 16B, 16C and 16D. Dr. Potter reported that the standard methodology, data and information currently available resulted in need determinations for adolescent and adult substance abuse inpatient and residential services beds in the South Central Mental Health Planning Region.</p> <p>A motion was made to recommend acceptance of the substance abuse inpatient and residential services assumptions and methodology, advancing years by one, and the additional language for the Chapter 16 narrative.</p>	<p>Mr. Griffin Mr. Beaver</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p>
<p>Review of Intermediate Care Facilities for the Mentally Retarded Policies, Assumptions and Methodology. Projected Bed Need Determinations</p> <p>Discussion/Recommendations for the Proposed 2010 Plan</p>	<p>Dr. Potter provided a summary of the Intermediate Care Facilities for the Mentally Retarded (ICF-MR) policies and need determination methodologies. Dr. Potter reported that the standard methodology, data and information currently available resulted in no need determinations for ICF-MR beds anywhere in the state.</p> <p>A motion was made to recommend acceptance of the intermediate care facilities policies, assumptions and methodology and advancing years by one.</p>	<p>Mr. Hodges Mr. Parks</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p>
	<p>Dr. Pulliam entertained a motion to allow staff to update narratives, tables and need determinations for the Proposed 2010 Plan as new and corrected data is received.</p>	<p>Mr. Parks Mr. Beaver</p>	<p>The motion was unanimously approved.</p>
<p>Other Business</p>	<p>Dr. Pulliam indicated that the Council will meet on May 27 and the Committee will meet on September 25. There was no other business at this time.</p>		
<p>Adjournment</p>	<p>There being no further business, a motion was made to adjourn the meeting.</p>	<p>Mr. Griffin Mr. Hodges</p>	<p>Meeting adjourned.</p>